Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) FITZGERALD ET AL.	
10/553,417		
Examiner	Art Unit	
PHILLIP A. JOHNSTON	2881	

	PHILLIP A. JOHNSTON	2881	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED 03 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid aban t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejectio FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>03 September 2008</u> . A the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disn	nissal of the
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, k (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying th	e issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpilant / tinenament (1	102 024).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendmen	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.5 and 6</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/ROBERT KIM/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2881			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: (a) The Miller reference is analogous art to the Brock reference, because both are concerned with encoding data using binary sequences where the psuedo-random and bit-flipped binary sequences are sub-classes of the general class of binary sequences. Both Brock and Miller use modulated sequences in matrix form. Both Brock and Miller use matrix algebra to perform data correlation; (b) Brock would have been motivated to use the bit flipped binary sequences of Miller since bit-flipping is a known source of error in encoding data sequences, and both are concerened with correcting data encoding errors (See Col. 4, line 60-65 in Miller), and Col. 4, line 1-7 in Brock.